

## PERIOPERATIVE NUTRITIONAL CARE IN THE PATIENT ACCORDING TO THE ENHANCE RECOVERY AFTER SURGERY PROTOCOL: A LITERATURE REVIEW

**Michał Krasowski**<sup>1</sup>  , **Alicja Kalinowska**<sup>2</sup> ,  
**Wiktoria Pietras**<sup>2</sup> , **Adrian Kozieł**<sup>2</sup> ,  
**Zofia Kurek**<sup>2</sup> , **Aleksander Jentkiewicz**<sup>2</sup> ,  
**Esmail Haj Obeid**<sup>2</sup> , **Jakub Ulrych**<sup>2</sup> ,  
**Jan Krupa**<sup>2</sup> , **Maciej Malinowski**<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland



[download article \(pdf\)](#)

 [mich.krasowski@wp.pl](mailto:mich.krasowski@wp.pl)

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Since the beginning of surgery, reducing postoperative complications and early recovery have been two fundamental principles that have guided the improvement of surgical techniques and perioperative management.

Unfortunately, preoperative malnutrition is probably the least frequently identified risk factor in surgery, but one of the most treatable to improve outcomes. Perioperative malnutrition is an independent predictor of worse surgical outcomes. It is known that malnutrition in surgical patients means higher postoperative mortality, morbidity, length of stay (LOS) in hospital, frequency of re-hospitalizations and hospital costs [9,12,15]. Between 20% and 50% of patients undergoing elective surgical procedures meet the criteria for some degree of malnutrition [8,6,10].

**Purpose:** to evaluate the impact of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocol through the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) on patients undergoing elective surgery.

**Methods:** A systematic review of scientific articles published between 1994 and 2024 was conducted using PubMed and Google Scholar. The keywords included "malnutrition in surgical patients," "malnutrition diagnosis," and "ERAS protocol." Articles written in English and freely available in full text were included in the analysis.

**Conclusion:** The research highlights that early nutritional interventions, particularly those following the ERAS protocol, significantly reduce postoperative complications, mortality, and hospital stays. Tools such as the GLIM scale, which effectively diagnose malnutrition, and evidence-based nutritional strategies like carbohydrate loading and immunomodulating diets, were shown to optimize surgical outcomes.

Perioperative nutritional care is essential for minimizing complications, improving recovery times, and enhancing patient outcomes. The ERAS protocol provides a comprehensive framework for integrating nutritional management into surgical care, emphasizing the need for early diagnosis of malnutrition and individualized patient preparation. Implementing these guidelines in clinical practice should be a standard approach in modern perioperative care.

**Keywords:** Malnutrition, Perioperative patient care, perioperative nutritional support, Malnutrition diagnosis, ERAS protocol, GLIM criteria

## INTRODUCTION

Modern surgery aims to minimize postoperative complications and shorten hospital stays. Achieving these goals requires a multifaceted approach to perioperative patient care.

The implementation of new surgical techniques combined with special protocols for perioperative treatment based on personalized evidence, the risk of complications in patients today has decreased by half. This has reduced the complication rate and length of hospital stay by 30-50% for many types of surgery (52).

This improvement is achieved through the use of nutritional elements that reduce the stress of the surgical patient, which reduce metabolic stress and allow recovery of bowel function. Malnutrition before oncologic and non-oncologic major surgery is common and can be attributed to a variety of causes (43).

Gastrointestinal abnormalities (e.g. malabsorption of nutrients and mechanical obstruction) and treatment-related side effects (e.g. neoadjuvant therapy and steroids). In addition, there are always individual patient-related factors (e.g., comorbidities, socioeconomic status, and anorexia) that contribute to worsening the preoperative nutritional status of surgical patients (44).

Moreover, preoperative malnutrition is often accompanied by other morbid conditions such as impaired functional capacity, frailty and sarcopenia (45, 46), and is associated with worsened mental status (47).

A meta-analysis by Canadian scientists (2018) including 18,039 medical and surgical patients showed that among those malnourished, 49.7% were also sarcopenic and 41.6% were prefrail (48). It is remarkable that the risk of becoming sarcopenic or prefrail among malnourished surgical patients was 16 and 4 times higher than the average among surgical patients, respectively.

## METHODOLOGY

We conducted an exhaustive search for scientific articles using PubMed and Google Scholar. The search covered articles published between 1994 and 2024. Keywords used in the search included "Malnutrition in surgical patients," "Malnutrition," "Impact of malnutrition on the immune system," "GLIM criteria," "NRS scale," "Malnutrition diagnosis," and "ERAS protocol." The search was limited to articles written in English with full-text versions freely accessible.

**Our primary goal** was to raise awareness among medical personnel involved in perioperative care regarding the potential complications of malnutrition during this period, the importance of early malnutrition diagnosis, and the nutritional preparation of patients according to the ERAS protocol.

## BODY OF THE REVIEW

### PHYSIOPATHOLOGIC MECHANISMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TYPE OF SURGICAL INTERVENTION

Surgical intervention is itself a traumatic procedure that triggers inflammatory mechanisms, with the secretion of the same proinflammatory cytokines and activation of neurohumoral mechanisms similar to those that occur in disease-related malnutrition, and more intensely [53]. On the other hand, interventions involving the digestive tract (resections, anastomoses, etc.) usually initially impose significant dietary restrictions on patients, which, along with prolonged bed rest, exacerbate sarcopenia and malnutrition [54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62].

The Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocol includes 22 recommendations for comprehensive perioperative care. Among these, patient nutritional preparation has strong support in the scientific literature.

The World Health Organization defines malnutrition as a cellular-level imbalance between the demand for nutrients and energy and their supply, which is essential for growth, maintenance of vital functions, and the performance of specific roles. Numerous studies have shown that malnutrition negatively affects quality of life, morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, patient response to treatment, and increases the rate of readmissions [9,12,15].

Between 20% and 50% of patients undergoing elective surgical procedures meet the criteria for some degree of malnutrition [8,6,10]. In a multicenter study conducted across Spain, one in four patients met the criteria for malnutrition [3].

In this article, we will focus on the identification of malnutrition in surgical patients, the consequences of

malnutrition, and discuss the current ERAS protocol recommendations regarding perioperative nutrition.

*Consequences of Malnutrition (Table 1)*

Consequences of Malnutrition			
Impairment of the Immune System Function	Prolonged Wound Healing	Decreased Physical Activity	Prolonged Hospital Stay

**DIAGNOSIS OF MALNUTRITION**

There are numerous scales available for diagnosing malnutrition, including the Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS), Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF), Malnutrition Universal Screening Test (MUST), Nutritional Risk Screening (NRS), and the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM). In 2016, major global organizations dedicated to malnutrition diagnostics—The European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), Federación Latinoamericana de Terapia Nutricional Clínica y Metabolismo (FELANPE), and the Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Society of Asia (PENSA)—based on common features of the above-mentioned scales and discussions, created the GLIM scale as the primary and recommended tool for diagnosing malnutrition [17]. According to studies, the GLIM scale has a sensitivity of 61.3% and a specificity of 89.8% [2,5].

The GLIM scale should be used as the primary tool for diagnosing and assessing malnutrition, particularly in situations where nutritional specialists are unavailable. It allows for the diagnosis of malnutrition, unlike another commonly used scale, the NRS, which only suggests that a patient may meet the criteria for malnutrition. The GLIM scale categorizes criteria into phenotypic and etiologic groups (Table 2). To diagnose malnutrition using this scale, one phenotypic criterion and one etiologic criterion must be met [19,11].

*Table 2: Phenotypic and Etiologic Criteria of the GLIM Scale*

Phenotypic	Etiologic
Weight loss (%) >5 within 6 months or >10 beyond 6 months	Reduced Food Intake or Assimilation <50% of ER> 1 week or any reduction for > 2 weeks, or any chronic GI condition that adversely impacts food assimilation or absorption
Body Mass Index (BMI) <20 if <70 years old <22 if > 70 years old	
Reduced Muscle Mass Reduced by validated body composition measuring techniques	Inflammation Acute, Chronic or Injury related

Phenotypic Criteria of the GLIM Scale Allow Classification of Malnutrition into Moderate and Severe Categories (Table 3)

*Table 3: Classification of Malnutrition into Moderate and Severe Categories*

Phenotypic Factor	Moderate Malnutrition	Severe Malnutrition
Weight Loss	5-10% within 6 months	>10% within 6 months
Body Mass Index (BMI)	<20 if <70 years, <22 if >70 years	<18.5 if <70 years, <20 if >70 years
Reduced Muscle Mass	Mild to moderate depletion	Severe depletion

As recommended by the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG), the nutrition risk screening (NRS) scale is suggested as a tool for early malnutrition screening.

This scale consists of two parts: an initial screening section (Table 4) and a more detailed diagnostic section

(Table 5). Patients proceed to the second part of the NRS scale if they meet the criteria to score at least one point in the initial screening section.

Table 4: Initial NRS Screening

Question	Criteria	Score
Body Mass Index (BMI)	<20.5	1
Weight Loss	Unintentional weight loss in the last 3 months	1
Reduced Dietary Intake	Reduced food intake over the last week	1
Severe Illness	Severe acute or chronic condition	1

If the patient scores 1 or more points, the screening in Table 2 is performed.

If the patient does not score any points, the patient is re-screened at weekly intervals. If the patient, for example, is scheduled for a major operation, a preventive nutritional care plan is considered to avoid the associated risk status.

Table 5: Final NRS Screening

Criteria	Severity	Score
Impaired Nutritional Status	Weight loss >5% in 3 months or Food intake below 50-75% of normal requirement in preceding week	1
	Weight loss >5% in 2 months or BMI 18.5 - 20.5 + impaired general condition or Food intake 25-60% of normal requirement in preceding week	2
	Weight loss >5% in 1 month (>15% in 3 mths) or BMI <18.5 + impaired general condition or Food intake 0-25% of normal requirement in preceding week in preceding week.	3
Disease Severity	Hip fracture, Chronic patients, in particular with acute complications: cirrhosis, COPD. Chronic hemodialysis, diabetes, oncology	1
	Major abdominal surgery, Stroke. Severe pneumonia, hematologic malignancy	2
	Head injury, Bone marrow transplantation. Intensive care patients (APACHE>10).	3

*If the patient >70 years old add 1 point  
 ≥3: Nutritional intervention recommended.  
 0-2: No risk of malnutrition.*

## PERIOPERATIVE NUTRITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS ACCORDING TO THE ERAS PROTOCOL

The Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocol was first introduced in 1997 by Professor Henrik Kehlet, a Danish surgeon who developed perioperative management principles aimed at improving treatment outcomes and reducing complications. Since then, the ERAS protocol has been expanded and adapted to various types of surgeries in many countries worldwide. In 2012, the ERAS protocol was introduced as a recommendation for perioperative preparation for patients undergoing colon resection [22]. This protocol introduces the patient as a co-responsible party in the treatment process, thereby engaging them in perioperative preparations [28]. According to research, involving patients in the treatment process results in a reduced hospital stay and a decrease in postoperative complications [40,29].

ERAS pathways have repeatedly been shown to significantly reduce postoperative morbidity after colorectal surgery (49, 50) and many other types of surgery (51). Thus, it may be that the benefits of immunonutrition (IMN) or pharmaconutrition encompasses are no longer apparent once full ERAS is implemented (52).

A meta-analysis of randomized studies implementing the ERAS protocol in patients undergoing colon surgery demonstrates a 50% reduction in postoperative complications [38]. Hospital stays are also shortened with the implementation of the ERAS protocol [14]. Among the recommendations in the ERAS protocol are nutritional guidelines, which will be the focus of the following sections of this article.

Nutritional care under the ERAS protocol can be divided into preoperative and postoperative phases.

### PREOPERATIVE PREPARATION

Preoperative preparation involves correcting micro- and macronutrient deficiencies. If required, patients should undergo nutritional therapy 7–14 days before surgery, with enteral nutrition preferred over parenteral nutrition. Immunomodulating nutrition is also recommended, which involves incorporating preparations containing arginine, omega-3 fatty acids, and nucleotides into the patient's diet. Preoperative nutritional care also includes carbohydrate loading (CL). Research indicates that CL shortens the postoperative recovery period and reduces the time to the first bowel movements following gastrointestinal surgeries [23]. Additionally, CL decreases hunger and thirst in the preoperative period, reduces insulin resistance [32], alleviates anxiety, and promotes anabolism over catabolism.

For carbohydrate loading, patients are advised to consume high-carbohydrate meals primarily consisting of pasta, rice, or grains two days before surgery. Two to three hours before surgery, patients are given 50 g of complex carbohydrates [30] along with 400 ml of water. Contraindications for CL include ascites, advanced heart failure, advanced renal failure, and Addison's disease.

### POSTOPERATIVE NUTRITION

In the postoperative period, it is recommended (in the absence of contraindications) to introduce small portions of nutrition approximately four hours after surgery, with enteral nutrition preferred over parenteral nutrition [34]. Studies confirm that early nutritional initiation reduces postoperative complications and accelerates patient recovery [7,13]. Protein intake is recommended at 1.2–2 g/kg of body weight per day, and caloric intake should be 25–30 kcal/kg of body weight per day [26]. Immunomodulating nutrition initiated preoperatively may be continued postoperatively.

The ERAS protocol emphasizes early initiation of nutrition and avoiding unnecessary preoperative fasting. This approach reduces the risk of complications such as infections [21], improves treatment tolerance, and accelerates recovery. Perioperative nutritional recommendations according to the ERAS protocol are summarized in Table 6.

*Table 6: Nutritional Recommendations in the Perioperative Period According to ERAS Protocol*

Phase	Recommendation	Details
Preoperative	Avoid prolonged fasting	- Last solid meal: up to 6 hours before surgery. - Clear fluids: up to 2 hours before surgery.
	Intake of carbohydrate-rich drinks	- Consume 50 g carbohydrates in a drink 2–3 hours before surgery. - Benefits: reduces insulin resistance, muscle protein breakdown, hunger, and thirst.
	Assessment and treatment of malnutrition	- Provide 7–14 days of nutritional intervention for malnourished patients when possible. - Use enteral nutrition (preferred) or parenteral nutrition.
	Immunonutrition supplementation	- Use formulas enriched with: - Arginine - Omega-3 fatty acids - Nucleotides - Especially for oncologic or critical care surgeries.

Postoperative	Early initiation of nutrition	- Start oral or enteral feeding within 24 hours post-surgery if no contraindications.
	Minimize parenteral nutrition	- Reserve for cases where enteral feeding is impossible for more than 7 days.
	Protein and calorie intake	- Protein: 1.2–2.0 g/kg body weight/day. - Calories: 25–30 kcal/kg body weight/day.
	Continued immunonutrition	- Continue supplementation, particularly after major surgeries.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Malnutrition itself can lead to death; however, epidemiological data show that malnutrition significantly increases susceptibility to infections, exacerbates their course, and is a major contributor to diseases and deaths associated with numerous other conditions [31].

Nutritional deficiencies impair both innate and adaptive immune responses. In malnourished patients, the production of complement components, particularly C3—one of the key proteins responsible for activating the immune pathway—is suppressed. Reduced levels of this protein directly impair the immune response to pathogens [33]. Studies consistently show that malnutrition diminishes the biological function of immune cells, such as B lymphocytes, Kupffer cells, and macrophages [35,27]. Dendritic cells, which are among the primary antigen-presenting cells, produce fewer cytokines essential for initiating the immune response cascade when affected by malnutrition [1].

In a study conducted on 709 patients by Correia et al., postoperative infections occurred significantly more frequently in malnourished patients compared to those who were adequately nourished (19.4% vs. 10.1%).

An optimal wound healing process requires an adequate supply of nutrients. Malnutrition prolongs the inflammatory phase of healing by inhibiting fibroblast proliferation and collagen production. As a result, healed wounds exhibit poorer elasticity and reduced vascularization [36,5]. The prolonged wound-healing process, coupled with weakened immune system activity, significantly contributes to the development of chronic wounds at primary surgical sites, which increases morbidity and mortality rates [24,20,38].

Malnutrition disrupts the metabolic processes in patients. The release of anabolic factors decreases, while the production of catabolic hormones increases. In the absence of energy substrates, postoperative patients exhibit reduced physical activity. This results from decreased muscle mass and low levels of energy substrates such as glucose, proteins, and fatty acids [25,16].

All these consequences of poor nutritional preparation prolong hospital stays, increase the number of necessary procedures, including reoperations [25], elevate costs, and, due to extended hospital stays, raise the likelihood of hospital-acquired infections [18]. According to a study conducted by the University of Glasgow, the average hospital stay for a patient who developed a hospital-acquired infection was 30 days, compared to 3 days for a patient without such an infection [25].

Thus, proper malnutrition diagnostics, preoperative preparation, and postoperative care are essential. These aspects will be the focus of the following sections of this article.

## CONCLUSIONS

Early diagnosis of malnutrition, effective nutritional preparation, and adherence to ERAS protocol recommendations significantly reduce postoperative complications, reduce hospitalization time, and improve patient quality of life.

These advances have reduced the complication rate and length of hospital stay by 30-50% for many types of surgery.

Some of the elements of evidence-based care in ERAS protocols have a direct impact on metabolic responses to surgery, reducing stress reactions in the postoperative period. They also allow for a much faster recovery of function, including gastrointestinal function.

These advances have opened the door to changes in nutrition. Instead of low-caloric glucose IV fluids, patients can and should eat and drink almost immediately after surgery, which is an integral part of their recovery.

Prehabilitation programs have also been shown to be effective: patients preparing for major surgery receive specific protein-rich nutrition, perform specific exercise programs, and receive mental preparation to



increase their resilience to the stress of surgery.

Pre- and probiotics affecting the gut microbiota also show promising effects on outcomes, while the simple use of carbohydrates preoperatively is an effective way of controlling postoperative glucose.

Identifying malnourished patients using tools such as the GLIM scale remains particularly important, as it allows precise detection of malnutrition and, consequently, the implementation of appropriate nutritional interventions to ensure an optimal surgical treatment process.

Introducing an individualized approach to nutritional care and educating patients about their role in the treatment process is a critical step toward minimizing postoperative complications and optimizing surgical treatment outcomes. Implementing ERAS guidelines in clinical practice should be the standard in perioperative care.

## REFERENCES

1. Abe, M., Akbar, F., Matsuura, B., Horiike, N., & Onji, M. (2003). Defective antigen-presenting capacity of murine dendritic cells during starvation. *Nutrition*, *19*(3), 265–269. DOI: [10.1016/s0899-9007\(02\)00854-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007(02)00854-7)
2. Allard, J. P., Keller, H., Gramlich, L., Jeejeebhoy, K. N., Laporte, M., & Duerksen, D. R. (2020). GLIM criteria has fair sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing malnutrition when using SGA as comparator. *Clinical Nutrition*, *39*(9), 2771–2777. DOI: [10.1016/j.clnu.2019.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2019.12.004)
3. Álvarez-Hernández, J., Planas Vila, M., León-Sanz, M., García de Lorenzo, A., Celaya-Pérez, S., García-Lorda, P., Araujo, K., Sarto Guerri, B., & PREDyCES researchers. (2012). Prevalence and costs of malnutrition in hospitalized patients: The PREDyCES study. *Nutritional Hospital*, *27*(4), 1049–1059. DOI: [10.3305/nh.2012.27.4.5986](https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2012.27.4.5986)
4. Alves, L. F., de Jesus, J. D. S., Britto, V. N. M., de Jesus, S. A., Santos, G. S., & de Oliveira, C. C. (2023). GLIM criteria to identify malnutrition in patients in hospital settings: A systematic review. *JPEN Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, *47*(6), 702–709. DOI: [10.1002/jpen.2533](https://doi.org/10.1002/jpen.2533)
5. Arnold, M., & Barbul, A. (2006). Nutrition and wound healing. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, *117*(7 Suppl), 42S–58S. DOI: [10.1097/01.prs.0000225432.17501.6c](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.prs.0000225432.17501.6c)
6. Barker, L. A., Gout, B. S., & Crowe, T. C. (2011). Hospital malnutrition: Prevalence, identification and impact on patients and the healthcare system. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *8*(2), 514–527. DOI: [10.3390/ijerph8020514](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8020514)
7. Bisgaard, T., & Kehlet, H. (2002). Early oral feeding after elective abdominal surgery—What are the issues? *Nutrition*, *18*(11-12), 944–948. DOI: [10.1016/s0899-9007\(02\)00990-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007(02)00990-5)
8. Bruun, L., Bosaeus, I., Bergstad, L., & Nygaard, K. (1999). Prevalence of malnutrition in surgical patients: Evaluation of nutritional support and documentation. *Clinical Nutrition*, *18*(2), 141–147. DOI: [10.1016/s0261-5614\(99\)80003-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0261-5614(99)80003-x)
9. Burgos, R., Joaquín, C., Blay, C., & Vaqué, C. (2020). Disease-related malnutrition in hospitalized chronic patients with complex needs. *Clinical Nutrition*, *39*(5), 1447–1453. DOI: [10.1016/j.clnu.2019.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2019.06.006)
10. Cass, A. R., & Charlton, K. E. (2022). Prevalence of hospital-acquired malnutrition and modifiable determinants of nutritional deterioration during inpatient admissions: A systematic review of the evidence. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, *35*(6), 1043–1058. DOI: [10.1111/jhn.13009](https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.13009)
11. Cederholm, T., Jensen, G. L., Correia, M. I. T. D., Gonzalez, M. C., Fukushima, R., Higashiguchi, T., Baptista, G., Barazzoni, R., Blaauw, R., Coats, A., Crivelli, A., Evans, D. C., Gramlich, L., Fuchs-Tarlovsky, V., Keller, H., Llido, L., Malone, A., Mogensen, K. M., Morley, J. E., Muscaritoli, M., Nyulasi, I., Pirlich, M., Pisprasert, V., de van der Schueren, M. A. E., Siltharm, S., Singer, P., Tappenden, K., Velasco, N., Waitzberg, D., Yamwong, P., Yu, J., Van Gossum, A., & Compher, C.; GLIM Core Leadership Committee; GLIM Working Group. (2019). GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition: A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clinical Nutrition*, *38*(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2018.08.002>
12. Charlton, K., Nichols, C., Bowden, S., Milosavljevic, M., Lambert, K., Barone, L., Mason, M., & Batterham, M. (2012). Poor nutritional status of older subacute patients predicts clinical outcomes and mortality at 18 months of follow-up. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *66*(11), 1224–1228. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.130>
13. Charoenkwan, K., & Matovinovic, E. (2014). Early versus delayed oral fluids and food for reducing complications after major abdominal gynecologic surgery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *2014*(12), CD004508. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004508.pub4>
14. Delaney, C. P., Fazio, V. W., Senagore, A. J., Robinson, B., Halverson, A. L., & Remzi, F. H. (2001). 'Fast track' postoperative management protocol for patients with high co-morbidity undergoing

- complex abdominal and pelvic colorectal surgery. *British Journal of Surgery*, 88(11), 1533–1538. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0007-1323.2001.01905.x>
15. Durán Poveda, M., Suárez-de-la-Rica, A., Cancer Minchot, E., Ocón Bretón, J., Sánchez Pernaute, A., Rodríguez Caravaca, G., & PREMAS Study Group. (2023). The prevalence and impact of nutritional risk and malnutrition in gastrointestinal surgical oncology patients: A prospective, observational, multicenter, and exploratory study. *Nutrients*, 15(14), 3283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15143283>
  16. Hill, G. L., Pickford, I., Young, G. A., Schorah, C. J., Blackett, R. L., Burkinshaw, L., Warren, J. V., & Morgan, D. B. (1977). Malnutrition in surgical patients: An unrecognised problem. *The Lancet*, 309(8013), 689–692. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(77\)92127-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(77)92127-4)
  17. Jensen, G. L. (2016). Global leadership conversation: Addressing malnutrition. *JPEN: Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 40(4), 455–457. DOI: [10.1177/0148607116640274](https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607116640274)
  18. Jeon, C. Y., Neidell, M., Jia, H., Sinisi, M., & Larson, E. (2012). On the role of length of stay in healthcare-associated bloodstream infection. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 33(12), 1213–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1086/668422>
  19. Kozieł, A., Kurek, Z., & Jentkiewicz, A. (2024). Diagnosis of malnutrition and the application of GLIM criteria: A literature review. *Archiv EuroMedica*, 14(6). DOI: [10.35630/2024/14/6.601](https://doi.org/10.35630/2024/14/6.601)
  20. Langer, G., Schloemer, G., Knerr, A., Kuss, O., & Behrens, J. (2003). Nutritional interventions for preventing and treating pressure ulcers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2003(4), CD003216. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD003216.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003216.pub3)
  21. Update in: *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2014(6), CD003216. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003216.pub2>
  22. Ljungqvist, O. (2014). ERAS—Enhanced recovery after surgery: Moving evidence-based perioperative care to practice. *JPEN Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 38(5), 559–566. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607114523451>
  23. Ljungqvist, O., Scott, M., & Fearon, K. C. (2017). Enhanced recovery after surgery: A review. *JAMA Surgery*, 152(3), 292–298. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4952>
  24. Lu, J., Khamar, J., McKechnie, T., Lee, Y., Amin, N., Hong, D., & Eskicioglu, C. (2022). Preoperative carbohydrate loading before colorectal surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 37(12), 2431–2450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-022-04288-3>
  25. Mathus-Vliegen, E. M. (2004). Old age, malnutrition, and pressure sores: An ill-fated alliance. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 59(4), 355–360. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/59.4.m355>
  26. Mosquera, C., Koutlas, N. J., Edwards, K. C., Strickland, A., Vohra, N. A., Zervos, E. E., & Fitzgerald, T. L. (2016). Impact of malnutrition on gastrointestinal surgical patients. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 205(1), 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.05.030>
  27. Parkes, E. (2006). Nutritional management of patients after bariatric surgery. *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences*, 331(4), 207–213. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000441-200604000-00007>
  28. Petro, T. M., Schwartz, K. M., & Chen, S. S. (1994). Production of IL2 and IL3 in syngeneic mixed lymphocyte reactions of BALB/c mice are elevated during a period of moderate dietary protein deficiency. *Immunological Investigations*, 23(2), 143–152. <https://doi.org/10.3109/08820139409087795>
  29. Pędziwiatr, M., Mavrikis, J., Witowski, J., Adamos, A., Major, P., Nowakowski, M., & Budzyński, A. (2018). Current status of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in gastrointestinal surgery. *Medical Oncology*, 35(6), 95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-018-1153-0>
  30. Phatak, U. R., Li, L. T., Karanjawala, B., Chang, G. J., & Kao, L. S. (2014). Systematic review of educational interventions for ostomates. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*, 57(4), 529–537. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.0000000000000044>
  31. Pogatschnik, C., & Steiger, E. (2015). Review of preoperative carbohydrate loading. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 30(5), 660–664. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533615594013>
  32. Rice, A. L., Sacco, L., Hyder, A., & Black, R. E. (2000). Malnutrition as an underlying cause of childhood deaths associated with infectious diseases in developing countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 78(10), 1207–1221. PMID: [11100616](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11100616/); PMCID: [PMC2560622](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2560622/)
  33. Robinson, K. N., Cassady, B. A., Hegazi, R. A., & Wischmeyer, P. E. (2021). Preoperative carbohydrate loading in surgical patients with type 2 diabetes: Are concerns supported by data? *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*, 45, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.08.023>
  34. Sakamoto, M., Fujisawa, Y., & Nishioka, K. (1998). Physiologic role of the complement system in host defense, disease, and malnutrition. *Nutrition*, 14(4), 391–398. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007\(97\)00473-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007(97)00473-5)
  35. Seres, D. S., Valcarcel, M., & Guillaume, A. (2013). Advantages of enteral nutrition over parenteral



- nutrition. *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*, 6(2), 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1756283X12467564>
36. Stapleton, P. P., Fujita, J., Murphy, E. M., Naama, H. A., & Daly, J. M. (2001). The influence of restricted calorie intake on peritoneal macrophage function. *Nutrition*, 17(1), 41–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007\(00\)00502-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007(00)00502-5)
  37. Stechmiller, J. K. (2010). Understanding the role of nutrition and wound healing. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 25(1), 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533609358997>
  38. Stewart, S., Robertson, C., & Pan, J. (2021). Impact of healthcare-associated infection on length of stay. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 114, 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2021.02.026>
  39. Thomas, D. R. (2001). Improving outcome of pressure ulcers with nutritional interventions: A review of the evidence. *Nutrition*, 17(2), 121–125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007\(00\)00514-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0899-9007(00)00514-1)
  40. Varadhan, K. K., Neal, K. R., Dejong, C. H., Fearon, K. C., Ljungqvist, O., & Lobo, D. N. (2010). The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clinical Nutrition*, 29(4), 434–440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2010.01.004>
  41. Younis, J., Salerno, G., Fanto, D., Hadjipavlou, M., Chellar, D., & Trickett, J. P. (2012). Focused preoperative patient stoma education, prior to ileostomy formation after anterior resection, contributes to a reduction in delayed discharge within the enhanced recovery programme. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 27(1), 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-011-1252-2>
  42. Kutnik P, Bierut M, Rypulak E, Trwoga A, Wróblewska K, Marzęda P, Kośmider K, Kamieniak M, Pająk A, Wolanin N, Gębska-Wolińska M, Borys M. The use of the ERAS protocol in malnourished and properly nourished patients undergoing elective surgery: a questionnaire study. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther.* 2023;55(5):330-334. DOI: [10.5114/ait.2023.134190](https://doi.org/10.5114/ait.2023.134190). PMID: 38282499; PMCID: PMC10801458.
  43. Weimann A, Braga M, Carli F, Higashiguchi T, Hübner M, et al. 2017.. ESPEN guideline: clinical nutrition in surgery. . *Clin. Nutr.* 36:(3):623–50. DOI: [10.1016/j.clnu.2021.03.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.03.031)
  44. Gillis C, Wischmeyer PE. 2019.. Pre-operative nutrition and the elective surgical patient: why, how and what?. *Anaesthesia* 74:(Suppl. 1):27–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.14506>
  45. Bicakli DH, Uslu R, Güney SC, Coker A. 2020. The relationship between nutritional status, performance status, and survival among pancreatic cancer patients. . *Nutr. Cancer* 72:(2):202–8. DOI: [10.1080/01635581.2019.1634217](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2019.1634217)
  46. Ligthart-Melis GC, Luiking YC, Kakourou A, Cederholm T, Maier AB, de van der Schueren MAE. 2020.. Frailty, sarcopenia, and malnutrition frequently (co-)occur in hospitalized older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. . *J. Am. Med. Direct. Assoc.* 21:(9):1216–28. DOI: [10.1016/j.jamda.2020.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2020.03.006)
  47. Gillis C, Richer L, Fenton TR, Gramlich L, Keller H, et al. 2021.. Colorectal cancer patients with malnutrition suffer poor physical and mental health before surgery. . *Surgery* 170:(3):841–47 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.13050>
  48. Gillis C, Buhler K, Bresee L, Carli F, Gramlich L, Culos-Reed N, Sajobi TT, Fenton TR. Effects of Nutritional Prehabilitation, With and Without Exercise, on Outcomes of Patients Who Undergo Colorectal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2018 Aug;155(2):391-410.e4. DOI: [10.1053/j.gastro.2018.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.05.012) Epub 2018 May 8. PMID: 29750973.
  49. Greco M, Capretti G, Beretta L, Gemma M, Pecorelli N, Braga M. Enhanced recovery program in colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Surg*. 2014 Jun;38(6):1531-41. DOI: [10.1007/s00268-013-2416-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-013-2416-8). PMID: 24368573.
  50. Spanjersberg WR, van Sambeek JD, Bremers A, Rosman C, van Laarhoven CJ. Systematic review and meta-analysis for laparoscopic versus open colon surgery with or without an ERAS programme. *Surg Endosc*. 2015 Dec;29(12):3443-53. DOI: [10.1007/s00464-015-4148-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4148-3). Epub 2015 Mar 24. PMID: 25801106; PMCID: PMC4648973.
  51. Vioni A, Shah R, Gabriel E, Attwood K, Kukar M, Nurkin S. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery for Noncolorectal Surgery?: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Major Abdominal Surgery. *Ann Surg*. 2018 Jan;267(1):57-65. DOI: [10.1097/SLA.0000000000002267](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000002267). PMID: 28437313.
  52. Ljungqvist O, Weimann A, Sandini M, Baldini G, Gianotti L. Contemporary Perioperative Nutritional Care. *Annu Rev Nutr*. 2024 Aug;44(1):231-255. DOI: [10.1146/annurev-nutr-062222-021228](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nutr-062222-021228). PMID: 39207877.
  53. Townsend C., Evers M., Beauchamp R.D., Mattox K.L., editors. *Sabiston Textbook of Surgery*. 21st ed. Elsevier; Philadelphia, PA, USA: 2021.
  54. Spanjersberg W.R., Reurings J., Keus F., van Laarhoven C.J. Fast Track Surgery versus Conventional

- Recovery Strategies for Colorectal Surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2011;16:CD007635. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD007635.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007635.pub2)
55. Mosquera C., Koutlas N.J., Edwards K.C., Strickland A., Vohra N.A., Zervos E.E., Fitzgerald T.L. Impact of Malnutrition on Gastrointestinal Surgical Patients. *J. Surg. Res.* 2016;205:95–101. DOI: [10.1016/j.jss.2016.05.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.05.030)
56. Thomas M.N., Kufeldt J., Kissler U., Hornung H.-M., Hoffmann J., Andraschko M., Werner J., Rittler P. Effects of Malnutrition on Complication Rates, Length of Hospital Stay, and Revenue in Elective Surgical Patients in the G-DRG-System. *Nutrition.* 2016;32:249–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2015.08.021>.
57. Sandrucci S., Beets G., Braga M., Dejong K., Demartines N. Perioperative Nutrition and Enhanced Recovery After Surgery in Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients. A Position Paper by the ESSO Task Force in Collaboration with the ERAS Society (ERAS Coalition) *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* 2018;44:509–514. DOI: [10.1016/j.ejso.2017.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2017.12.010)
58. Seo J.-M., Joshi R., Chaudhary A., Hsu H.-S., Trung L.V., Inciong J.F., Usman N., Hendrawijaya I., Ungpinitpong W. A Multinational Observational Study of Clinical Nutrition Practice in Patients Undergoing Major Gastrointestinal Surgery: The Nutrition Insights Day. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN.* 2021;41:254–260. DOI: [10.1016/j.clnesp.2020.11.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2020.11.029)
59. Williams J.D., Wischmeyer P.E. Assessment of Perioperative Nutrition Practices and Attitudes—A National Survey of Colorectal and GI Surgical Oncology Programs. *Am. J. Surg.* 2017;213:1010–1018. DOI: [10.1016/j.amjsurg.2016.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2016.10.008)
60. Wobith M., Weimann A. Oral Nutritional Supplements and Enteral Nutrition in Patients with Gastrointestinal Surgery. *Nutrients.* 2021;13:2655. DOI: [10.3390/nu13082655](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13082655)
61. Hill A., Arora R.C., Engelman D.T., Stoppe C. Preoperative Treatment of Malnutrition and Sarcopenia in Cardiac Surgery. *Crit. Care Clin.* 2020;36:593–616. DOI: [10.1016/j.ccc.2020.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2020.06.002)

[back](#)